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#### Abstract

Four new phenolic glycosides, (2-hydroxy-3-methoxy-5-allyl)phenyl $\beta$-D-(6-O-E-sinapoyl)glucopyranoside (1), ( $1^{\prime} R, 5^{\prime} R$ )-5-(5-carboxymethyl-2-oxocyclopentyl)-3Z-pentenyl $\beta$-D-(6-O-galloyl)glucopyranoside (2), (S)- $\alpha$-terpinyl [ $\alpha$-L-(2-O-galloyl)arabinofuranosyl]-( $1 \rightarrow 6$ )- $\beta$-d-glucopyranoside (3), and $(R)$ - $\alpha$-terpinyl [ $\alpha$-L-(2-O-galloyl)arabinofuranosyl]-(1 $\rightarrow 6$ )-$\beta$-d-glucopyranoside (4), were isolated from the berries of Pimenta dioica together with eight known flavonoids. The structures of $\mathbf{1 - 4}$ were elucidated on the basis of MS and NMR data and enzymatic hydrolysis. All four glycosides showed radical-scavenging activity against 1,1-diphenyl-2-picrylhydrazyl (DPPH) radicals.


Allspice, made from the berries of Pimenta dioica Merr. belonging to Myrtaceae, is used as a spice in foods, and its essential oil, which is rich in eugenol, has been used as an antimicrobial and a digestive agent. In our previous studies, several phenylpropanoids and galloylglucosides were isolated from this plant. ${ }^{1-3}$ Herein, we report the isolation and characterization of four new phenolic glycosides ( $\mathbf{1} \mathbf{- 4}$ ) from allspice and their free radicalscavenging effects on 1,1-diphenyl-2-picrylhydrazyl (DPPH) radicals.

The EtOAc-soluble fraction obtained from a $70 \%$ aqueous acetone extract of allspice was subjected to successive column chromatography using Sephadex LH-20, Si gel, and Chromatorex ODS to give four new glycosides $(\mathbf{1} \mathbf{- 4})$ together with eight known compounds. These known compounds were identified by comparison of spectroscopic data as quercetin, quercetin 3-O-galactoside (hyperoside), ${ }^{4}$ quercetin 3- $O-\alpha-L$-arabinoside (avicularin), ${ }^{5}$ quercetin 3- $O$-(2-O-galloyl)- $\beta$-D-glucoside, ${ }^{6}$ quercetin 3- $O-\beta$-D-glucuronide $6^{\prime \prime}$-methyl ester, ${ }^{7}$ myricetin, myricetin 3- $O$-galactoside, ${ }^{8}$ and ( + )ampelopsin. ${ }^{9}$

Compound 1 exhibited an $[\mathrm{M}-\mathrm{H}]^{-}$peak at $m / z 547.1798$ in agreement with the molecular formula of $\mathrm{C}_{27} \mathrm{H}_{32} \mathrm{O}_{12}$ by negative ion HRFABMS measurement. The IR spectrum revealed hydroxy ( $3600-3100 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ ) and ester ( $1717 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ ) functions and an aromatic ring (1604 and $1510 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ ). The UV spectrum showed an absorption peak at 328 nm , indicating the presence of a cinnamoyl moiety. In the ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum, a two-proton singlet at $\delta 7.04$, a 6 H singlet at $\delta 3.90$, and two 1 H doublets at $\delta 7.63$ and 6.48 with a coupling constant of 15.9 Hz were indicative of a sinapoyl group. The ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectrum showed six carbons corresponding to a glucose moiety ( $\delta 104.3,77.0,75.0,74.4,70.9$, and 63.8 ) and one methylene ( $\delta 40.2$ ), one $O$-methyl ( $\delta 56.2$ ), two olefinic ( $\delta 138.4$ and 115.3), and six aromatic ( $\delta 148.8,146.1,136.5,130.9,112.2$, and 108.9) carbons, which suggested the presence of another phenylpropanoid moiety as well as the sinapoyl group. Resonances of a $1,2,3,5-$ tetrasubstituted aromatic ring [ $\delta 6.68(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=1.7 \mathrm{~Hz})$ and 6.55 $(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=1.7 \mathrm{~Hz})$ ], a vinyl group [ $\delta 5.89(1 \mathrm{H}$, ddt, $J=16.8$, $10.0,6.7 \mathrm{~Hz}), 4.99(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ddt}, J=16.8,3.4,1.5 \mathrm{~Hz})$, and $4.93(1 \mathrm{H}$, ddt, $J=10.0,3.4,1.5 \mathrm{~Hz})$ ], an $O$-methyl group [ $\delta 3.77(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s})$ ], and a methylene group [ $\delta 3.22(2 \mathrm{H}$, br d, $J=6.7 \mathrm{~Hz})$ ] in the ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum along with mass fragment ions at $m / z 367[M-H$ - 180] ${ }^{-}$and 179 in the negative ion FABMS spectrum suggested the presence of a 5-hydroxyeugenol framework. In the HMBC spectrum, the anomeric proton [ $\delta 4.76(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz})]$ showed a correlation with an aromatic carbon ( $\delta 146.1$ ) attributed to C-1.

[^0]
2 : $R=-\beta$-D-(6-O-galloyl)glucopyranose
$5: \mathrm{R}=\mathrm{H}$ (trans-tuberonic acid)


Furthermore, the deshielded $6^{\prime}$-methylene protons [ $\delta 4.53$ (dd, $J$ $=12.0,2.2 \mathrm{~Hz})$ and $4.39(\mathrm{dd}, J=12.0,6.6 \mathrm{~Hz})]$ of the glucose moiety due to the esterification correlated with the carbonyl carbon ( $\delta 167.1$ ) of the sinapoyl group (Figure 1). Consequently, compound 1 was defined as (2-hydroxy-3-methoxy-5-allyl)phenyl $\beta$-D-(6-O-$E$-sinapoyl)glucopyranoside.

Compound 2 showed an $[\mathrm{M}-\mathrm{H}]^{-}$at $m / z 539.1776$ corresponding to the molecular formula $\mathrm{C}_{25} \mathrm{H}_{32} \mathrm{O}_{13}$ in the negative ion HRFABMS. The IR spectrum revealed absorption bands due to hydroxy ( $3600-3000 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ ), carboxylic hydroxy ( $3000-2500 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ ), ketone ( $1715 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ ), carboxylic carbonyl ( $1710 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ ), and aromatic ester ( $1701 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ ) functions and an aromatic ring (1614 and 1520 $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ ). The presence of a galloyl group was supported by a twoproton singlet at $\delta 7.07$ in the ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum and five carbon signals ( $\delta 168.3,146.5,139.8,121.4$, and 110.2) (Table 1). The ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectra indicated the presence of a $\beta$-glucopyranose moiety as in $\mathbf{1}$. The $6^{\prime \prime}$-methylene protons ( $\delta 4.52$ and 4.40) showed HMBC correlation with the carbonyl carbon ( $\delta 168.3$ ) of the galloyl group, indicating the acylation of the C-6" hydroxy function (Figure 2). In the HMQC spectrum, the remaining 12 carbons corresponded to one ketone ( $\delta 222.0$ ), one carboxyl ( $\delta$


Figure 1. Key HMBC correlations for compound 1.
Table 1. NMR Data ( $500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{OD}$ ) for Compounds 2 and $5^{a}$

| position | 2 |  | 5 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\delta_{\text {C }}$ | $\delta_{\mathrm{H}}(J$ in Hz) | $\delta_{\mathrm{H}}(J$ in Hz) |
| 1a | 70.5 | 3.78, m | $3.54, \operatorname{td}(7.0,0.7)$ |
| 1 b |  | 3.57, dt (14.1, 7.1) | 3.54 , td (7.0, 0.7) |
| 2a,b | 29.1 | 2.38 , br dt (7.3, 7.1) | $2.30, \mathrm{dt}(7.0,7.0)$ |
| , | 128.9 | 5.46, dtt (10.7, 7.3, 1.5) | 5.48 , dtt (11.0, 7.0, 0.7) |
| 4 | 128.9 | 5.36, dtt (10.7, 7.3, 1.5) | 5.42 , dtt (11.0, 7.0, 0.7) |
| 5a, b | 26.2 | 2.32, m | 2.39 , dd (7.0, 5.4) |
| $1^{\prime}$ | 55.1 | 1.91, dtd (9.5, 4.9, 1.4) | 1.99 , dtd (10.8, 5.4, 0.7) |
| $2^{\prime}$ | 222.0 |  |  |
| 3'a | 38.7 | 2.28, m | 2.32, m |
| 3'b |  | 2.06, ddd (18.8, 11.5, 8.5) | 2.08, ddd (18.8, 11.5, 8.8) |
| 4'a | 28.1 | 2.20 , m | 2.23 , m |
| 4'b |  | 1.49, m | 1.53, m |
| 5 | 39.0 | 2.24, m | 2.30, m |
| 6'a | 39.9 | 2.62, dd (18.8, 8.8) | 2.67, dd (18.8, 8.8) |
| $6{ }^{\prime}$ b |  | 2.26, m | 2.32, m |
| $7{ }^{\prime}$ | 176.4 |  |  |
| $1^{\prime \prime}$ | 104.6 | 4.31, d (7.4) |  |
| $2^{\prime \prime}$ | 75.1 | 3.20, dd (9.2, 7.4) |  |
| 3" | 77.9 | 3.41, dd (9.2, 9.2) |  |
| 4 " | 71.7 | 3.38, dd (9.2, 9.2) |  |
| 5" | 75.5 | 3.55, ddd (9.2, 5.8, 2.2) |  |
| 6 "a | 64.8 | 4.52, dd (11.7, 2.2) |  |
| $6 " \mathrm{~b}$ |  | 4.40, dd (11.7, 5.8) |  |
| $1^{\prime \prime \prime}$ | 139.8 |  |  |
| $2^{\prime \prime \prime}, 6^{\prime \prime \prime}$ | 110.2 | 7.07, s |  |
| 3"', $5^{\prime \prime \prime}$ | 146.5 |  |  |
| $4{ }^{\prime \prime \prime}$ | 121.4 |  |  |
| $7{ }^{\prime \prime \prime}$ | 168.3 |  |  |

${ }^{a}$ Chemical shifts are shown in $\delta$ values (ppm) relative to solvent peak.


Figure 2. Key HMBC correlations for compound 2.
176.4), two olefinic ( $\delta 128.9 \times 2 \mathrm{C}$ ), one oxymethylene ( $\delta 70.5$ ), five methylene ( $\delta 39.9,38.7,29.1,28.1$, and 26.2), and two methine ( $\delta 55.1$ and 39.0) carbons. In the ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum, two olefinic proton signals at $\delta 5.46(\mathrm{dtt}, J=10.7,7.3,1.5 \mathrm{~Hz})$ and $5.36(\mathrm{dtt}$, $J=10.7,7.3,1.5 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ) indicated the presence of a cis double bond. The proton sequence in the ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ COSY spectrum showed the presence of a framework of $-\mathrm{OCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}=\mathrm{CHCH}_{2} \mathrm{CHCH}-$ $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2}-$. In the HMBC spectrum, vicinal methylene protons [ $\delta$ $2.28\left(\mathrm{H}-3^{\prime} \mathrm{a}\right)$ and $\left.2.20\left(\mathrm{H}-4^{\prime} \mathrm{a}\right)\right]$ correlated with the carbonyl carbon at $\delta 222.0$, and $\mathrm{H}-3^{\prime}$ a also correlated with a methine carbon at $\delta$ 55.1, indicating the presence of a cyclopentanone structure.

Furthermore, geminal methylene protons at $\delta 2.62$ and 2.26 correlated with both carboxylic carbon ( $\delta 176.4$ ) and $\mathrm{C}-5^{\prime}(\delta 39.0)$, which showed that a carboxymethyl group was attached to $\mathrm{C}-5^{\prime}$. These NMR data indicated 2 had a 5-(5-carboxymethyl-2-oxocy-clopentyl)-3Z-pentenol moiety. In the ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum, $\mathrm{H}-1$ ' and $\mathrm{H}-5^{\prime}$ resonated at $\delta 1.91$ and 2.24 , respectively. Resonances for $\mathrm{H}-1^{\prime}$ and $\mathrm{H}-5^{\prime}$ of the $1^{\prime}, 5^{\prime}$-cis isomer were reported at $\delta 2.35-2.45$ and $2.80,{ }^{10-13}$ whereas the trans isomer showed chemical shifts of $\mathrm{H}-1^{\prime}$ and $\mathrm{H}-5^{\prime}$ at $\delta 2.20$ and 2.30 , respectively. ${ }^{14}$ Thus 2 possesses $1^{\prime}, 5^{\prime}$-trans relative configuration. The HMBC correlation of the anomeric proton at $\delta 4.31$ and the oxymethylene carbon at $\mathrm{C}-1(\delta$ 70.5) confirmed the glucose moiety was attached to C-1. Thus, compound 2 was characterized as trans-1', $5^{\prime}-5$-(5-carboxymethyl-2-oxocyclopentyl)-3Z-pentenyl $\beta$-(6-O-galloyl)glucopyranoside.

To determine the absolute configuration at $\mathrm{C}-1^{\prime}$ and $\mathrm{C}-5^{\prime}, \mathbf{2}$ was first treated with tannase. After recognizing the production of gallic acid by HPLC analysis, the enzymatic reaction mixture was subsequently treated with $\beta$-glucosidase to give 5 and glucose. The ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum of 5 corresponded to trans-tuberonic acid, ${ }^{14}$ and the specific rotation $\left([\alpha]^{26}{ }_{D}-67.1\right)$ was consistent with that of the authentic compound with $1^{\prime} R, 5^{\prime} R$ configuration $\left([\alpha]^{24} \mathrm{D}-67\right) .{ }^{15}$ Thus, 2 was concluded to be $\left(1^{\prime} R, 5^{\prime} R\right)$-5-(5-carboxymethyl-2-oxocyclopentyl)-3Z-pentenyl $\beta$-D-(6-O-galloyl)glucopyranoside.

Compound $\mathbf{3}$ exhibited a specific rotation of -36.6 and was found to have a molecular formula of $\mathrm{C}_{28} \mathrm{H}_{40} \mathrm{O}_{14}$ based on an [M - H] ${ }^{-}$ peak at $m / z 599.2339$ in HRFABMS. The ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectra of $\mathbf{3}$ indicated that $\mathbf{3}$ consisted of a galloyl, a $\beta$-glucopyranose, a pentofuranose, and monoterpene moieties (Table 2). The observed protons due to the monoterpene in the ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum were one olefinic ( $\delta 5.30$ ), one methine ( $\delta 1.58$ ), three methyl ( $\delta 1.58$, 1.16, and 1.14 ) protons, and three pairs of geminal protons corresponding to three methylenes, suggesting the presence of an $\alpha$-terpinyl moiety. ${ }^{2}$ The HMBC correlation between the anomeric proton of $\beta$-glucopyranose [ $\delta 4.49(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz})$ ] and the C-8 resonance ( $\delta 79.8$ ) indicated that the partial structure was $\alpha$-terpinyl $\beta$-glucoside (Figure 3). Furthermore, the chemical shifts of C-9 and C-10 ( $\delta 23.0$ and 25.0) were characteristic of a moiety with $4 S$ configuration. ${ }^{2,16}$ The $6^{\prime}$-methylene protons [ $\delta 4.01$ (dd, $J=11.0$, 2.7 Hz ) and $3.62(\mathrm{dd}, J=11.0,6.4 \mathrm{~Hz})$ ] showed an HMBC correlation with the anomeric carbon of the pentose ( $\delta 106.8$ ) and the anomeric proton of the pentose ( $\delta 5.18 \mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}$ ) with C-6' $(\delta 67.7$ ), which confirmed a glycosidic linkage between C-1" and C-6'. An oxymethine proton at $\delta 5.14(\mathrm{dd}, J=2.4,1.0 \mathrm{~Hz})$ was attributable to $\mathrm{H}-2^{\prime \prime}$ based on ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ COSY and HMBC measurements. The downfield shift of H-2" and the HMBC correlation between H-2" and the carbonyl carbon of the galloyl group ( $\delta$ 166.0) indicated esterification at $\mathrm{C}-2^{\prime \prime}$. The anomeric proton of the pentose ( $\mathrm{H}-1^{\prime \prime}$ ) appeared at $\delta 5.18$ as a broad singlet. In addition, correlations were observed between H-1" and H-3" [ $\delta 4.15$ (dd, $J=6.0,2.4 \mathrm{~Hz}$ )] and between H-2" and H-4" $[\delta 4.10$ (ddd, $J=6.0,5.5,4.2 \mathrm{~Hz}$ )] in the NOESY spectrum, suggesting that the pentofuranose might be $\alpha$-arabinofuranose. ${ }^{17}$

To confirm the structure of the pentose moiety, $\mathbf{3}$ was treated with tannase to give $\mathbf{6}$ and gallic acid. Compound $\mathbf{6}$ showed an [M $+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$peak at $m / z 449.2389$ in the HRFABMS spectrum, which was 152 mass units, corresponding to $\mathrm{C}_{7} \mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{4}$, smaller than that of 3. The two-proton singlet observed in the ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum of $\mathbf{3}$, attributed to a galloyl group, was absent in the spectrum of $\mathbf{6}$. In addition, the $2^{\prime \prime}$-methine proton was shielded in $\mathbf{6}[\delta 3.98(\mathrm{dd}, J=$ $3.2,1.2 \mathrm{~Hz})$ ] compared to $\mathbf{3}$. Acetylation of $\mathbf{6}$ gave a hexaacetyl derivative (6a). In the ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum of $\mathbf{6 a}$, the signals due to the pentose moiety showed the same coupling constants ( $J_{1^{\prime \prime}, 2^{\prime \prime}}<1$ $\left.\mathrm{Hz}, J_{2^{\prime \prime}, 3^{\prime \prime}}=1.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, J_{3^{\prime \prime} 4^{\prime \prime}}=5.1 \mathrm{~Hz}\right)$ as those of synthetic $\alpha$-terpinyl 2,3,4-tri- $O$-acetyl-6-O-(2,3,5-tri- $O$-acetyl- $\alpha$-L-arabinofuranosyl)- $\beta$ -D-glucopyranoside, and the proton and carbon chemical shifts of the sugar parts in $\mathbf{6 a}$ were consistent with those of the synthetic one, ${ }^{16}$ which confirmed that pentofuranose was $\alpha-L$-arabinofuranose.

Table 2. NMR Data ( 500 MHz ) for Compounds $\mathbf{3}$ and $\mathbf{4}$ in $\mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{COCD}_{3}$ and $\mathbf{6}$ in $\mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{OD}^{a}$

| position | 3 |  | 4 |  | 6 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\delta_{\text {C }}$ | $\delta_{\mathrm{H}}(J$ in Hz$)$ | $\delta_{\text {C }}$ | $\delta_{\mathrm{H}}(J$ in Hz$)$ | $\delta_{\text {C }}$ | $\delta_{\mathrm{H}}(J$ in Hz$)$ |
| 1 | 134.2 |  | 134.1 |  | 134.9 |  |
| 2 | 121.7 | 5.30, m | 121.7 | 5.30, m | 121.9 | 5.35, m |
| 3 a | 27.5 | 1.98, m | 27.5 | 2.03, m | 28.1 | 2.05, m |
| 3 b |  | 1.72, m |  | 1.73, m |  | 1.78, m |
| 4 | 44.7 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 1.58, dddd }(11.8,11.8 \text {, } \\ & 4.9,2.0) \end{aligned}$ | 44.7 | $\begin{aligned} & 1.54 \text {, dddd }(11.5,11.5 \text {, } \\ & 5.0,2.0) \end{aligned}$ | 45.0 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 1.67, dddd }(12.2,12.2 \text {, } \\ & 4.6,2.2) \end{aligned}$ |
| 5a | 24.5 | 1.96, m | 24.4 | 1.93, m | 25.1 | 2.03, m |
| 5b |  | 1.15, m |  | 1.16, m |  | 1.22, m |
| 6a | 31.6 | 1.87, br d (15.6) | 31.6 | 1.89, m | 32.1 | 2.06, m |
| 6b |  | 1.87 , m |  | 1.84, m |  | 1.90, m |
| 7 | 23.6 | 1.58, br s | 23.5 | 1.58, br s | 23.6 | 1.62 , br s |
| 8 | 79.8 |  | 79.6 |  | 81.2 |  |
| 9 | 23.0 | 1.14, s | 23.8 | 1.13, s | 23.0 | 1.17, s |
| 10 | 25.0 | 1.16, s | 24.2 | 1.16, s | 25.2 | 1.22, s |
| $1^{\prime}$ | 98.1 | 4.49, d (7.6) | 98.0 | 4.49, d (7.6) | 98.5 | 4.46, d (7.6) |
| $2^{\prime}$ | 75.0 | 3.14 , dd (8.8, 7.6) | 75.0 | 3.13, dd (9.0, 7.6) | 75.3 | 3.12, dd (9.0, 7.6) |
| $3^{\prime}$ | 78.1 | 3.40 , dd ( $8.8,8.8$ ) | 78.2 | 3.39 , dd (9.0, 9.0) | 78.2 | 3.34 , dd (9.0, 9.0) |
| $4^{\prime}$ | 72.0 | 3.29 , dd (8.8, 8.8) | 72.1 | 3.28 , dd (9.0, 9.0) | 72.0 | 3.27 , dd (9.0, 9.0) |
| $5^{\prime}$ | 75.9 | 3.47 , ddd (8.8, 6.4, 2.7) | 76.0 | 3.46 , ddd (9.0, 6.4, 2.7) | 76.2 | 3.39 , ddd (9.0, 5.9, 2.4) |
| 6'a | 67.7 | 4.01 , dd (11.0, 2.7) | 67.6 | 4.01 , dd (11.0, 2.7) | 68.2 | 3.97 , dd (11.0, 2.4) |
| $6{ }^{\text {b }}$ b |  | 3.62 , dd (11.0, 6.4) |  | 3.62 , dd (11.0, 6.4) |  | 3.54 , dd (11.0, 5.9) |
| $1^{\prime \prime}$ | 106.8 | 5.18 , br s | 106.9 | $5.20, \mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}$ | 109.9 | 4.91, d (1.2) |
| $2^{\prime \prime}$ | 85.2 | 5.14 , dd (2.4, 1.0) | 85.1 | 5.14 , dd (2.2, 0.7) | 83.2 | 3.98 , dd (3.2, 1.2) |
| 3 " | 77.0 | 4.15, dd (6.0, 2.4) | 77.0 | 4.14 , dd (4.2, 2.2) | 79.0 | 3.81 , dd (5.9, 3.2) |
| $4 \prime$ | 85.9 | 4.10, ddd (6.0, 5.5, 4.2) | 86.0 | 4.10, ddd (6.6, 5.6, 4.2) | 85.9 | 3.94 , ddd (5.9, 5.1, 3.4) |
| $5^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{a}$ | 62.6 | 3.77 , dd (11.5, 4.2) | 62.7 | 3.77 , dd (11.7, 5.6) | 63.0 | $3.73 \text {, dd }(12.0,3.4)$ |
| $5^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{b}$ |  | 3.71 , dd (11.5, 5.5) |  | 3.71 , dd (11.7, 6.6) |  | 3.63 , dd (12.0, 5.1) |
| $1^{\prime \prime \prime}$ | 139.1 |  | 139.1 |  |  |  |
| $2^{\prime \prime \prime}, 6^{\prime \prime \prime}$ | 110.0 | 7.12, s | 110.0 | 7.11, s |  |  |
| $3^{\prime \prime \prime}, 5^{\prime \prime \prime}$ | 146.1 |  | 146.1 |  |  |  |
| $4^{\prime \prime \prime}$ | 121.1 |  | 121.2 |  |  |  |
| 7" | 166.0 |  | 166.0 |  |  |  |

${ }^{a}$ Chemical shifts are shown in $\delta$ values (ppm) relative to solvent peak.


Figure 3. Key HMBC correlations for compound 3.

On the basis of all the above data, compound 3 was concluded to be $(S)$ - $\alpha$-terpinyl [ $\alpha$-L-(2-O-galloyl)arabinofuranosyl]-( $1 \rightarrow 6$ )- $\beta$ -D-glucopyranoside.

Compound 4 exhibited a specific rotation of +14.1 and an [M $-\mathrm{H}]^{-}$peak at $m / z 599.2350$ corresponding to the same molecular formula $\left(\mathrm{C}_{28} \mathrm{H}_{40} \mathrm{O}_{14}\right)$ as 3 . The ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR data of 4 were similar to those of $\mathbf{3}$. A difference in specific rotations suggested that 4 was a diastereomer of $\mathbf{3}$. Comparing the ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectra of $\mathbf{3}$ and $\mathbf{4}$, differences were due to the protons of $\mathrm{C}-3-\mathrm{C}-6$ and the $\mathrm{C}-9$ and $\mathrm{C}-10$ resonances, corresponding to the $\alpha$-terpinyl moiety (Table 2). In the ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectrum of $4, \mathrm{C}-9$ and C-10 were observed at $\delta 23.8$ and 24.2, which were in good agreement with those of $(R)$ - $\alpha$-terpinyl glucoside as previously reported. ${ }^{2}$ Thus, compound 4 was identified as $(R)$ - $\alpha$-terpinyl [ $\alpha$-L-(2- $O$-galloyl)ara-binofuranosyl]-( $1 \rightarrow 6$ )- $\beta$-D-glucopyranoside.

The radical-scavenging properties of compounds $\mathbf{1}-\mathbf{4}$ together with gallic acid, sinapic acid, and eugenol were evaluated against DPPH radicals in a spectrophotometric assay. ${ }^{18,19}$ As shown in Table 3, compound 1 showed higher activity than eugenol and sinapic acid, the constituent units of $\mathbf{1}$. Compounds $\mathbf{2 - 4}$, which possess galloyl groups, were more active than $\mathbf{1}$, but slightly less active than gallic acid.

Table 3. DPPH Radical Scavenging Activity of Compounds 1-4 and Related Compounds ${ }^{a}$

|  | $\mathrm{IC}_{50}(\mu \mathrm{M})$ |
| :--- | ---: |
| $\mathbf{1}$ | $15.0 \pm 0.3^{e}$ |
| $\mathbf{2}$ | $11.2 \pm 0.7^{d}$ |
| $\mathbf{3}$ | $10.5 \pm 0.4^{c}$ |
| $\mathbf{4}$ | $1.6 \pm 0.5^{d}$ |
| gallic acid | $8.0 \pm 0.5^{b}$ |
| sinapic acid | $25.7 \pm 0.7^{f}$ |
| eugenol | $27.5 \pm 0.3^{g}$ |

${ }^{a}$ The concentration of DPPH radical was $100 \mu \mathrm{M}$ in EtOH . The absorbance of the reaction mixture at 520 nm against a blank of EtOH without DPPH was measured by a multilabel counter after 180 min . ${ }^{b-g}$ Values with different letters are significantly different ( $p<0.05$ ).

## Experimental Section

General Experimental Procedures. Melting points were measured using a Yanagimoto micromelting point apparatus and were uncorrected. Optical rotations were measured using a Jasco P1030 polarimeter (Tokyo, Japan). UV spectra were recorded on a Shimadzu UV-2500PC UV-vis spectrophotometer (Kyoto, Japan). IR spectra were run on a Jasco FT/IR685V (Tokyo, Japan). The ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H},{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$, and 2D NMR spectra were recorded on a Varian Unity Plus $500(500 \mathrm{MHz}$, Varian Inc., Palo Alto, CA) spectrometer. FABMS (matrix: glycerol) and HRFABMS were measured on a JEOL JMS-700T mass spectrometer (Tokyo, Japan). Si gel 60 (70-230 mesh, Merck), Sephadex LH-20 (Pharmacia), and Chromatorex ODS DM1020T (100-200 mesh, Fuji Silysia Chemical) were used for column chromatography, and Si gel $60 \mathrm{~F}_{254}$ plates (Merck) and ODS plates (Merck) were used for TLC. HPLC analysis was carried out with a pump and a system controller (Jasco) connected to a UV detector (Jasco) operating at 280 nm . The column was a Mightysil RH-18 ( $5 \mu \mathrm{~m}, 4.6 \times 250 \mathrm{~nm}$ ). For measuring the DPPH radical scavenging activity, a Wallac 1420 Arvosx multilevel counter (Perkin-Elmer Life Sciences Inc., Boston, MA) was employed. Sinapic acid and 1,1-diphenyl-2-picrylhydrazyl (DPPH) were purchased from Wako Pure Chemical Industries, Ltd. Gallic acid and eugenol were previously isolated from allspice. ${ }^{1,2}$

Plant Material. Commercially available berries of Pimenta dioica Merr., Myrtaceae, from Jamaica were kindly supplied by Taiyo Corporation, Osaka, Japan. A voucher specimen (PD-0302) was deposited at the Graduate School of Human Life Science, Osaka City University.

Extraction and Isolation. Dried and ground berries of $P$. dioica $(1890 \mathrm{~g})$ were successively extracted with $n$-hexane $(6 \times 3 \mathrm{~L})$ and $70 \%$ aqueous $\mathrm{Me}_{2} \mathrm{CO}(6 \times 3 \mathrm{~L})$ at room temperature. For each extraction, the plant material was soaked in the solvent and allowed to stand overnight. Acetone from the combined $70 \%$ aqueous $\mathrm{Me}_{2} \mathrm{CO}$ extract was evaporated in vacuo, and the resulting aqueous residue was partitioned consecutively with $n$-hexane and EtOAc to obtain $n$-hexanesoluble, EtOAc -soluble, and $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$-soluble fractions. The EtOAc-soluble fraction ( 43.9 g ) was subjected to Sephadex LH-20 column chromatography (CC) using 2-propanol to give five fractions. Fraction 4 (10.7 g) was rechromatographed over ODS gel $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}-\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}, 3: 7\right)$ to give 10 fractions, A-J. Fraction B ( 3.38 g ) was subjected to Sephadex LH20 CC using $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{OH}$ to yield fractions B1-B6. Fraction B2 ( 240 mg ) was purified by Sephadex $\mathrm{LH}-20 \mathrm{CC}\left(\mathrm{Me}_{2} \mathrm{CO}-\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}, 7: 3\right)$ followed by ODS CC $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}-\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}, 13: 87\right)$ to give compound $2(22 \mathrm{mg})$. Fraction B3 ( 547 mg ) was purified by Sephadex LH-20 CC using $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{OH}$ repeatedly to afford quercetin $3-O-\beta$-glucuronide $6^{\prime \prime}$-methyl ester ${ }^{7}$ (8.5 $\mathrm{mg})$. Fraction B4 ( 977 mg ) was rechromatographed over ODS gel $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}-\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}, 15: 85\right)$ followed by Sephadex $\mathrm{LH}-20\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{OH}\right)$ to give hyperoside ${ }^{4}$ ( 68.4 mg ), myricetin 3-O-galactoside ${ }^{8}(8.7 \mathrm{mg})$, and (+)ampelopsin ${ }^{9}(5.9 \mathrm{mg})$. Fraction $\mathrm{C}(1.78 \mathrm{~g})$ was subjected to Sephadex LH-20 CC using $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{OH}$ followed by ODS CC $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}-\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}, 15\right.$ : 85) to give avicularin ${ }^{5}(11.0 \mathrm{mg})$, hyperoside ( 165.5 mg ), (+)ampelopsin ( 4.0 mg ), quercetin 3-O-(2-O-galloyl)- $\beta$-d-glucoside ${ }^{6}$ ( 7.2 $\mathrm{mg})$, and myricetin ( 3.7 mg ). Fraction E ( 675 mg ) was rechromatographed on Si gel $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}-\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{OH}, 9: 1\right)$ to give 10 fractions, $\mathrm{E} 1-\mathrm{E} 10$. Fraction E8 ( 72 mg ) was further subjected to repeated column chromatography over ODS gel $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}-\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}, 25: 75\right.$ ) to afford $\mathbf{3}$ (20 mg ) and $\mathbf{4}(8.6 \mathrm{mg})$. Fraction H ( 70 mg ) was subjected to Sephadex LH-20 CC eluted with $\mathrm{Me}_{2} \mathrm{CO}$ to give $\mathbf{1}(10.0 \mathrm{mg}$ ) and quercetin (22.0 mg ).

Compound 1: colorless viscous liquid; $[\alpha]^{25}{ }^{\mathrm{D}}-21.2$ (c 0.82 , $\mathrm{MeOH}) ; \mathrm{UV}(\mathrm{MeOH}) \lambda_{\text {max }}(\log \epsilon) 283$ (3.75), 328 (3.94) nm; IR (Nujol) $\nu_{\text {max }} 3600-3100,1717,1604,1510 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left[\left(\mathrm{CD}_{3}\right)_{2} \mathrm{CO}, 500\right.$ $\mathrm{MHz}] \delta 7.63\left(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=15.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H}-7^{\prime \prime}\right), 7.04\left(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{H}-2^{\prime \prime}, 6^{\prime \prime}\right), 6.68$ $(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=1.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H}-6), 6.55(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=1.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H}-4), 6.48(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}$, $\left.J=15.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H}-8^{\prime \prime}\right), 5.89$ ( $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ddt}, J=16.8,10.0,6.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H}-8$ ), 4.99 ( 1 H , ddt, $J=16.8,3.4,1.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H}-9 \mathrm{a}$ ), 4.93 ( 1 H , ddt, $J=10.0,3.4$, $1.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H}-9 \mathrm{~b}), 4.76\left(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H}-1^{\prime}\right), 4.53(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J=12.0$, $\left.2.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H}-6^{\prime} \mathrm{a}\right), 4.39\left(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J=12.0,6.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H}-6^{\prime} \mathrm{b}\right), 3.90(6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}$, $3^{\prime \prime}-\mathrm{OCH}_{3}, 5^{\prime \prime}-\mathrm{OCH}_{3}$ ), $3.77\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, 3-\mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right), 3.74(1 \mathrm{H}$, ddd, $J=9.3$, $\left.6.6,2.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H}-5^{\prime}\right), 3.56\left(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J=9.9,9.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H}-3^{\prime}\right), 3.52(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}$, $\left.J=9.0,7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H}-2^{\prime}\right), 3.47\left(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J=9.3,9.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H}-4^{\prime}\right), 3.22(2 \mathrm{H}$, br d, $J=6.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H}-7 \mathrm{a}, \mathrm{b}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left[\left(\mathrm{CD}_{3}\right)_{2} \mathrm{CO}, 125 \mathrm{MHz}\right] 167.1$ (C-9"), 148.8 (C-3), 148.6 (C-3", C-5"), 146.1 (C-1, C-7"), 139.2 (C$4^{\prime \prime}$ ), 138.4 (C-8), 136.5 (C-2), 130.9 (C-5), 125.8 (C-1"), 115.4 (C$8^{\prime \prime}$ ), 115.3 (C-9), 112.2 (C-6), 108.9 (C-4), 106.6 (C-2", C-6"), 104.3 (C-1'), 77.0 (C-3'), 75.0 (C-5'), 74.4 (C-2'), 70.9 (C-4'), 63.8 (C-6'), $56.4\left(3^{\prime \prime}-\mathrm{OCH}_{3}, 5^{\prime \prime}-\mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right), 56.2\left(3-\mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right), 40.2(\mathrm{C}-7) ;$ FABMS $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ 547 [M - H] ${ }^{-}, 367$ [M - H - 180] ${ }^{-}, 341$ [M - H - 206] ${ }^{-}$, 223, 205, 179, 164; HRFABMS $m / z 547.1798$ (calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{27} \mathrm{H}_{31} \mathrm{O}_{12}, 547.1815$ ).
Compound 2: colorless, viscous liquid; $[\alpha]^{20}{ }_{D}-27.7$ (c 0.30, $\mathrm{MeOH}) ; \mathrm{UV}(\mathrm{MeOH}) \lambda_{\text {max }}(\log \epsilon) 276$ (4.14) nm; IR (film) $\nu_{\text {max }}$ $3600-3000,3000-2500,1715,1710,1701,1614,1520,1322,1232$, 1039, $874 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ; \delta_{\mathrm{H}}$ and $\delta_{\mathrm{C}}$ (Table 1); FABMS m/z $539[\mathrm{M}-\mathrm{H}]^{-}$, 169; HRFABMS $m / z 539.1776$ (calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{25} \mathrm{H}_{31} \mathrm{O}_{13}, 539.1765$ ).

Enzymatic Hydrolysis of 2. A solution of $2(5 \mathrm{mg})$ in $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(3 \mathrm{~mL})$ was incubated with 5 mg of tannase ( $49 \mathrm{units} / \mathrm{mg}$, Aspergillus oryzae, Wako) at $30^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 1.5 h . HPLC analysis [solvent: $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}-0.1 \%$ HOAc in $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(15: 85, \mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v})$; flow rate: $\left.0.7 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}\right]$ showed that $\mathbf{1}\left(t_{\mathrm{R}}\right.$ 26.5 min ) disappeared and gallic acid ( $t_{\mathrm{R}} 6.2 \mathrm{~min}$ ) was formed. Then, $\beta$-glucosidase ( 5 mg ) was added to the reaction mixture followed by incubation at $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 3 h . The reaction mixture was extracted with EtOAc ( $3 \times 3 \mathrm{~mL}$ ) and the EtOAc extract $(3.1 \mathrm{mg})$ was chromatographed on Si gel $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}-\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{OH}=85: 15\right)$ to obtain gallic acid and 5 ( 2.1 mg ): $\delta_{\mathrm{H}}$ (Table 1); FABMS $m / z 215[\mathrm{M}-\mathrm{H}]^{-} ;[\alpha]^{26} \mathrm{D}-67.1$ (c $0.21, \mathrm{MeOH})\left[\mathrm{lit} .{ }^{15},[\alpha]^{24}{ }_{\mathrm{D}}-67\right]$.

Compound 3: white powder (acetone-benzene); mp 122-123 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; $\delta_{\mathrm{H}}$ and $\delta_{\mathrm{C}}$ (Table 2); [ $\left.\alpha\right]^{25}{ }_{\mathrm{D}}-36.6$ (c 0.78, MeOH); IR (Nujol) $\nu_{\text {max }}$

3600-3100, 1692, 1604, $1525 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$; FABMS m/z 599 [M - H] ${ }^{-}$, 169; HRFABMS $m / z 599.2339[\mathrm{M}-\mathrm{H}]^{-}$(calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{28} \mathrm{H}_{39} \mathrm{O}_{14}, 599.2340$ ).

Enzymatic Hydrolysis of 3. A solution of $\mathbf{3}(4.6 \mathrm{mg})$ in $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(2$ mL ) was preincubated at $30^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 2 h ; then 2 mg of tannase ( 49 units/ mg , Aspergillus oryzae, Wako) was added, and the sample solution was incubated at $30^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 1 h . HPLC analysis [solvent, $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}-0.1 \%$ HOAc in $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(30: 70, \mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v})$; flow rate, $\left.0.5 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}\right]$ showed that $3\left(t_{\mathrm{R}}\right.$ 17.0 min ) disappeared and gallic acid ( $t_{\mathrm{R}} 7.8 \mathrm{~min}$ ) was formed. The reaction mixture was concentrated in vacuo, and then 1 mL of MeOH was added to the residue. After sonication and subsequent filtration, the filtrate was purified on Sephadex LH-20 CC $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{OH}\right)$ to give $\mathbf{6}$ $(2.4 \mathrm{mg}) ; \delta_{\mathrm{H}}$ and $\delta_{\mathrm{C}}$ (Table 2); [ $\left.\alpha\right]^{29}{ }_{\mathrm{D}}-41.3$ ( $c 0.24, \mathrm{MeOH}$ ); FABMS $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z} 449[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}, 313,295 ;$ HRFABMS $m / z 449.2389[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$ (calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{21} \mathrm{H}_{37} \mathrm{O}_{10}, 449.2387$ ).

Acetylation of $\mathbf{6}$. A solution of $\mathbf{6}(1.6 \mathrm{mg})$ in pyridine $(0.3 \mathrm{~mL})$ and $\mathrm{Ac}_{2} \mathrm{O}(0.3 \mathrm{~mL})$ was allowed to stand overnight at room temperature. The reaction mixture was poured into cold 2 N HCl and then extracted with EtOAc. The organic layer was washed with saturated $\mathrm{NaCl}(\mathrm{aq})$, dried over anhydrous $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$, and evaporated to dryness to give $\mathbf{6 a}$ ( 2.4 mg ): ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR [ $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 500 \mathrm{MHz}\right] \delta 5.33(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{H}-2), 5.20(1 \mathrm{H}$, dd, $\left.J=9.8,9.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H}-3^{\prime}\right), 5.072\left(1 \mathrm{H}\right.$, br d, $\left.J=1.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H}-2^{\prime \prime}\right), 5.070$ ( $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{H}-1^{\prime \prime}$ ), $5.01\left(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J=9.7,9.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H}-4^{\prime}\right), 4.96$ ( 1 H , ddd, $\left.J=5.1,1.7,0.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H}-3^{\prime \prime}\right), 4.93\left(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J=9.8,8.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H}-2^{\prime}\right), 4.67$ $\left(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=8.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H}-1^{\prime}\right), 4.41\left(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J=12.0,3.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H}-5^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{a}\right)$, $4.28\left(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ddd}, J=5.6,5.1,3.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H}-4^{\prime \prime}\right), 4.21(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J=12.0,5.6$ $\left.\mathrm{Hz}, \mathrm{H}-5^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{b}\right), 3.75\left(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J=11.0,2.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H}-6^{\prime} \mathrm{a}\right), 3.65(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ddd}, J$ $\left.=9.7,6.1,2.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H}-5^{\prime}\right), 3.59\left(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J=11.0,5.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H}-6^{\prime} \mathrm{b}\right), 2.14$ $\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, 3^{\prime \prime}-\mathrm{OCOCH}_{3}\right), 2.10\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, 5^{\prime \prime}-\mathrm{O} \mathrm{OCOCH}_{3}\right), 2.08\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, 2^{\prime \prime}\right.$ $\left.\mathrm{OCOCH}_{3}\right), 2.03\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, 4^{\prime}-\mathrm{OCOCH}_{3}\right), 2.02\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, 2^{\prime}-\mathrm{OCOCH}_{3}\right), 2.00$ $\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, 3^{\prime}-\mathrm{OCOCH}_{3}\right), 1.99(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{H}-6 \mathrm{a}), 1.96(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{H}-3 \mathrm{a}), 1.90$ ( $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{H}-6 \mathrm{~b}$ ), 1.85 ( 1 H , ddddd, $J=12.7,2.4,2.4,2.4,0.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H}-5 \mathrm{eq}$ ), 1.72 ( $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{H}-3 \mathrm{~b}$ ), 1.63 ( $3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{H}-7-\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ ), 1.54 ( 1 H , dddd, $J=$ $11.7,11.7,4.4,2.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H}-4), 1.18$ ( 1 H , dddd, $J=12.0,11.7,11.7,5.6$ $\mathrm{Hz}, \mathrm{H}-5 \mathrm{ax}), 1.17\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, 10-\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 1.12\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, 9-\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left[\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 125 \mathrm{MHz}\right] \delta 170.7\left(5^{\prime \prime}-\mathrm{OCOCH}_{3}\right), 170.5\left(3^{\prime}-\mathrm{OCOCH}_{3}\right), 170.3$ $\left(3^{\prime \prime}-\mathrm{OCOCH}_{3}\right), 169.5\left(4^{\prime}-\mathrm{OCOCH}_{3}, 2^{\prime \prime}-\mathrm{OCOCH}_{3}\right), 169.2\left(2^{\prime}-\mathrm{OCOCH}_{3}\right)$, 134.2 (C-1), 120.3 (C-2), 105.7 (C-1"), 95.1 (C-1'), 81.0 (C-2"), 80.4 (C-8, C-4"), 77.2 (C-3'), 73.2 (C-3'), 72.8 (C-5'), 71.5 ( $\left.\mathrm{C}-2^{\prime}\right), 69.2$ (C-4'), 65.9 (C-6'), 63.3 (C-5"), 43.9 (C-4), 30.9(C-6), 26.7 (C-3), 24.9 (C-10), 23.5 (C-5), 23.4 (C-7), 22.1 (C-9), 20.8, 20.77, 20.76, 20.74, 20.73, 20.70 ( $2^{\prime}, 3^{\prime}, 4^{\prime}, 2^{\prime \prime}, 3^{\prime \prime}, 5^{\prime \prime}-\mathrm{OCOCH}_{3}$ ).

Compound 4: white powder (acetone-benzene); $\mathrm{mp} 128-129{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; $\delta_{\mathrm{H}}$ and $\delta_{\mathrm{C}}$ (Table 2); $[\alpha]^{25}{ }_{\mathrm{D}}+14.1$ (c 0.12, MeOH); FABMS m/z 599 [ $\mathrm{M}-\mathrm{H}]^{-}$, 169; HRFABMS $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z} 599.2350[\mathrm{M}-\mathrm{H}]^{-}$(calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{28} \mathrm{H}_{39} \mathrm{O}_{14}, 599.2340$ ).

Determination of the Scavenging Effect on DPPH Radicals. ${ }^{19}$ To $75 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ of the EtOH solution of each test compound at different final concentrations ( $3.125,6.25,12.5,25.0$, and $50.0 \mu \mathrm{M}$ ) in a 96well flat-bottom microplate was added $75 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ of an EtOH solution of DPPH radical (final concentration, $100 \mu \mathrm{M}$ ). Neat EtOH ( $75 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ ) was used as a control. After the reaction mixtures were slightly shaken and held for 180 min at room temperature in a multilabel counter, the absorbance of test compounds was measured at 520 nm against a blank of EtOH without DPPH. DPPH radical scavenging activity was calculated according to the following equation: DPPH radical scavenging activity (\%) $=$ [(absorbance of control - absorbance of test compound)/absorbance of control] $\times 100 . \mathrm{IC}_{50}$ was defined as the concentration of compounds that showed $50 \%$ DPPH radical scavenging activity. All analyses were carried out in triplicate, and the values were averaged. A factorial analysis of variance (ANOVA) with multiple comparisons and linear regression were used. Significance was established at $p<0.05$.
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